

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/305,722	05/05/1999	ZHENAN BAO	BAO-9-1-13	2552	
28221 7:	590 12/13/2002				
GLEN E. BO			EXAMINER		
LOWENSTEIN SANDLER PC			ALANKO, ANITA KAREN		
65 LIVINGSTON AVENUE ROSELAND, NJ 07068					
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1765	1.	
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2002	10	
				/ 0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			~~~
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/305,722	BAO ET AL.	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anita K Alanko	1765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	S
THE REPLY FILED 09 December 2002 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this app (1) a timely filed amendment w	lication. A proper reply thich places the application	to a on in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date	of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set	the fee. The appropriate extension in the final Office action; or (2) a	ion fee under as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C		•	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or search	n (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicatio issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by m	aterially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand	eling a corresponding number of	of finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed ar	mendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		nsidered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were i	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			ns t
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	rs:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disa	pproved by the Examine	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:			
		Anita K. Slemb Anita K Alanko Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1765	←

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: page 6, lines 3-7 of the specification recites to use inks to print SAMS onto a substrate, it does not recite to form a SAM on the stamp, as is claimed. The claim cites "a self-assembled monolayer ink" not a SAM-forming ink. The stamp is soaked in a solution containing a SAM-forming molecular species. The SAM is formed on the substrate, not on the stamp..